Emergent dynamics of community-owned renewable energy in regional communities

Jarra Hicks

Phd Candidate, UNSW

Supervisors: Prof. Bronwen Morgan (Law) & Susan Thompson (Built Environment)

Supported by the Cooperative Research Centre for Low Carbon Living

Director, Community Power Agency







Overview

- 1. Introduction to community-owned renewable energy
 - Status in Australia
 - Two cases: Hepburn Wind & Denmark Community Wind
- 2. My research
 - questions, methodology, theoretical context & status
- 3. Emerging dynamics:
 - Social, Technical, Economic, Environmental, Political
 - Challenges
- 4. Concluding thoughts



Grassroots participation in renewable energy

Every project is different:

- Technology wind, solar pv, biomass digesters, small hydro
- Scale Asset scale 10kW → Very large 10MW
- Legal structure cooperative, company, trust, association.
- Motivations, values & benefits climate change, selfsufficiency, local empowerment, regional development, etc.











Community owned renewable energy

"... projects where communities (of place or interest) exhibit a high degree of ownership and control of the energy project, as well as benefiting collectively from the outcomes (either energy-saving or revenue-generation)".

Seyfang et al (2013: 978)



A community renewable energy project is as much about our approach as it about our physical structures and technologies.

(Walker & Devine-Wright 2007)

Processes & Outcomes

Process:

- Who the project is developed and run by
- Who is involved and included in planning and decision-making?
- Who has power and influence?
- How open, inclusive and extensive is the consultation process?

Outcomes:

- What technology & scale?
- Who is the project for?
- Who benefits from the project?



(Hicks & Ison 2014)



Australian Renewable Energy





Australian Renewable Energy





Map of Australian CRE Projects – 2009







Map of Australian Projects – February 2014





Operating CORE projects in Australia

| Project Name | Technology & size | Туре |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Hepburn Wind (VIC) | 4.2MW wind | Investor cooperative |
| Denmark Community Windfarm | 1.6MW wind | Investor company |
| CORENA | 7-10kw solar PVx 2 | Donation based not-for-profit association |
| Repower Shoalhaven | 99kW solar PV | Investor company in partnership with Bowling Club |
| Clear Sky Solar Investment | 10-60kW solar PV x 6 | Investor trust |
| Mooreland Energy Foundation | 2-3kW solar PV x 300 | Not-for-profit association in partnership with local council & pensioners |

Hepburn Wind

2x2.1MW Repower wind turbines Began operating June 2011 Cost \$12.9 million

• Approx. \$2 million in grants

Cooperative of 2000 members, 51% local people

\$30,000/yr to a community grant fund

Enough power to supply roughly 2,500 local homes



Angola Namibia Botswana Madagascar

Kenya

Finl

Sweden

Italy

Niger

Nigeria

Libya

Chad

South

DR Congo

Spain

Algeria

Mali

Indian Ocean





Denmark Community Wind Farm 2x 800kW Enercon turbines Began generating Feb 2013 Cost \$6 million Satellite approx. \$2 million in grants Traffic Public Company with 116 shareholders, 86% are locals Canada 200,000 shares owned by DCW Inc, notfor-profit association Spain United States dividends on these distributed via Algeria Libya grant fund; approx \$10,000/yr Mexico Mali Niger Sell electricity to the grid Chad Nigeria Venezuela Colombia DR Congo Indonesia Papua New Tanzania Guinea Braz Peru

New

Zealand

Angola Namibia Namibia Botswana tic South Africa

South Pacific Ocean Bolivia

Argentina

Chile

Research aims

• to understand what community-owned approaches to renewable energy development offers to regional communities, especially where regional development is conceived beyond a narrow economic focus.

 how elements of legal structure, community engagement practices and economic arrangements influence project outcomes and dynamics in communities.

Methodology

- Action research: building on existing experience & contact
- Focus on mutually beneficial & mutually useful research outcomes
- Qualitative (mostly): interviews, focus groups, participant observation, social network & transaction mapping & photo-voice.

Research status

- Field work and participant observation with Australian case studies
 2/3 complete
- Interviews with regional development proponents began
- 2015: 2x Scottish case studies
- 2016: due to complete

Redistributive potential

.... the ways in which community-owned renewable energy projects shift established patterns and outcomes.

→ Across social, technological, economic, environmental and political factors

Theoretical context

 Community development critiques of regional development

(Cahill 2010; MacCallum et al., 2009;

Cameron & Gibson 2005)

• Diverse / community economies, reading for difference and the performativity of knowledge (JK Gibson-Graham)



Social

- Democratised energy decision-making & development
- \rightarrow Majority local shareholders; one-member one-vote
- → Opposition still present (but to less extent); more capacity & commitment to engage productively with opposition

- Redistributes power & agency
- → Builds local capacity that is transferred to other, non-energy related efforts in local community
- → Demonstrates ability of community to deliver significant projects
 & acts as a catalyst for others in local community & beyond





Energy Co-operatives in Germany: A Success Story

Over the last few years the number of energy co-operatives has increased sharply.



Source: Klaus Novy Institut; as of 01/2014

www.renewables-in-germany.com

Agency

Technological

- Increased uptake of renewable energy technology
- → Act to support and develop renewable energy, even when there is lack of government action or support
- → Increased levels of understanding and support for renewable energy technology through community education & exposure
- Shifts energy from being centralised, non-renewable and controlled to being distributed, locally available, renewable and (hypothetically) accessible to all
- → Changing relationships to energy & place: identity, culture & awareness of energy.
- \rightarrow Changing physical landscapes: can be contentious



Economic

• Redistributes economic benefit by localising money flows

 \rightarrow Local share offering, local purchasing policy and grant funds

→BUT cannot always pay a return; often lower returns than other forms of investment; often small in terms of regional economy

 redistributes economic decision making: the economy becomes a site of ethical decision-making

→Prioritising grant giving to local environmental & social initiatives over shareholder returns.

→Calculating 'sweat equity' & gifting equivalent value in shares to notfor-profit association to distribute dividends earned as grants



Political / Policy

• Redistributes emphasis on the roles of different scales in energy decision making and climate action (Cameron & Hicks 2014)

→From power concentration at the international scale, to the awareness of a 'flat ontogology' in which multiple networked actors interact and exert influence in non-linear ways.

 \rightarrow Brings attention to small & localised responses as important sites of action and innovation

- Mobilises people on energy issues
 →Increasing participation & power in policy processes
- Changing understanding of legal structures
- \rightarrow New ways of being used; identifying inadequacies



Challenges of the community-owned approach

- limited direct economic impact in regional economies
- continually changing & contested policy environment (renewable energy, carbon price, climate change)
- complexity of operating environment (legal structures, energy market, grid network) & inexperience of community actors
- conflict & opposition within the community



Concluding thoughts

"the low-carbon energy transition is fundamentally a geographical process that involves reconfiguring current spatial patterns of economic and social activity" (Bridge et al 2013: 331).

- Changes in energy market & climate policies as opportunities, not threats, to regional economic vitality
- Renewable energy transition will have repercussions on regional development and social fabric
- Community-owned renewable energy approaches are an important part of the mix, offering unique benefits – while also coming along with their own suite of challenges!



More Info...

Jarra

Email: jarra.hicks@student.unsw.edu.au Website: <u>www.cpagency.org.au</u> Twitter: CommunityPowerA



Reported benefits & motivations

POLITICAL

+ Create actors in a renewable energy future + Build political power and action

> + Win hearts and minds

+ Local ownership & decision-making

+ Community engagement & empowerment

+ Builds social capital

SOCIAL

+ Renewable energy education & training

+ Renewable energy industry development

+ Energy self-sufficiency

TECHNOLOGICAL

ENVIRONMENTAL

+ Carbon emission reductions

+ Increased environmental values & behavior

> + Co-exists with other land use (eg. Farming)

+ Regional development & income diversification + New community asset + Local jobs

+ Shareholder income

+ Community income

ECONOMIC

(Hicks & Ison 2014)